



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DW Aug-08

MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE
SUITE 200
SUNNYVALE CA 94085

COPY MAILED

AUG 14 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
DePetrillo et al. :
Application Number: 10/601711 :
Filing Date: 06/20/2003 :
Attorney Docket Number: :
RONA.002USO :

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹ filed on June 27, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on April 28, 2005, for failure to timely reply to the Notice of Allowance and Fee (s) Due mailed on January 27, 2005, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on October 27, 2005.

Receipt of the issue and publication fees paid on January 18, 2005 is acknowledged.

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Director may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

The application is referred to the Office of Data Management for processing into a patent.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Wood", is positioned above the typed name.

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions